

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

**IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FIFTH APPELLATE DISTRICT**

In re J.M., a Person Coming Under the Juvenile
Court Law.

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

J.M.,

Defendant and Appellant.

F078468

(Super. Ct. No. 17CEJ600661-2A)

OPINION

THE COURT*

APPEAL from orders of the Superior Court of Fresno County. Kimberly J. Nystrom-Geist, Judge.

Kevin J. Lindsley, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

Office of the State Attorney General, Sacramento, California, for Plaintiff and Respondent.

-ooOoo-

* Before Detjen, Acting P.J., Franson, J. and Snauffer, J.

Appointed counsel for minor J.M. asked this court to review the record to determine whether there are any arguable issues on appeal. (*People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436.) Minor was advised of his right to file a supplemental brief within 30 days of the date of filing of the opening brief. More than 30 days elapsed and we received no communication from minor. Finding no arguable error that would result in a disposition more favorable to minor, we affirm the juvenile court's findings and orders.

We provide the following brief description of the facts and procedural history of the case. (See *People v. Kelly* (2006) 40 Cal.4th 106, 110, 124.)

On July 24, 2018, minor entered an unoccupied apartment with several adult participants to commit a theft. While minor was upstairs, the resident returned home and one of the adult participants downstairs contacted the resident and used force or fear against the resident. Minor was not aware of what was happening downstairs, but he later admitted he should have known this was a possible outcome of his actions.

On August 2, 2018, a petition was filed pursuant to Welfare and Institutions Code section 602, subdivision (a), alleging that minor had committed home invasion robbery (Pen. Code, §§ 211, 213, subd. (a)(1)(A)). The petition also alleged minor was armed with a handgun during the commission of the offense (Pen. Code, § 12022, subd. (a)(1)).

On September 5, 2018, minor admitted the robbery allegation. The firearm enhancement was stricken.

On September 25, 2018, the juvenile court declared minor a ward of the court, placed him on probation until 2020, committed him to the New Horizons program for 365 days, and ordered that he first serve 40 days at the Juvenile Justice Campus while awaiting bed space in the New Horizons program.

On November 19, 2018, minor filed a notice of appeal.

Having undertaken an examination of the entire record, we find no evidence of ineffective assistance of counsel or any other arguable error that would result in a disposition more favorable to minor.

DISPOSITION

The findings and orders of the juvenile court are affirmed.